

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/690,736		10/21/2003	Mysore Y. Jaisimha	REALNET.006C1C1	06C1C1 7676		
20995	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP DARROW, JUSTIN T					JUSTIN T		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN		OR .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, C	A 92614			2132	2132		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W				
	10/690,736	JAISIMHA ET AL.	1)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Justin T. Darrow	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicity (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuary 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	• •		e				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-51 have been presented for examination. Claim 32 has been amended and new claims 47-51 have been added in a preliminary amendment filed 07/20/2004. Claims 1-51 have been examined

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made that the instant application is a continuation of Application No. 10/189,854, filed 07/03/2002, now U.S. Patent No. 6,671,807 B1, which is a continuation of Application No. 09/175,208, filed 10/19/1998, now U.S. Patent No. 6,487,663 B1.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/20/2004 has been considered by the examiner. An initialed copy is attached to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said access code bits" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,736

Art Unit: 2132

6. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said at least one parameter" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This rejection can be overcome by inserting after "one" --first--.

7. Claims 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "said access value" in lines 3 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This rejection can be overcome by deleting "access" in lines 3 and 5 and replacing with --transmission--.

8. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 recites the limitation "said access value" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This rejection can be overcome by deleting "access" in line 1 and replacing with --transmission--.

Double Patenting

9. Claim 51 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 48. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both

Art Unit: 2132

cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

10. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 11. Claims 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 58 and 59, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,671,807 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 12. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/690,736

Art Unit: 2132

Page 5

13. Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 8-14, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,807 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the code bits of the claims 1-8 are equivalent to the header with access code bits of claims 1-4 and 8-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,807 B1 and the at least one second parameter value of claims 9-11 is equivalent to a header comprising an access value of claims 12-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 15. Claims 12- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Jones et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,134,243 A.

As per claims 12-19, Jones et al. discloses a method and a computer-readable medium of encoding a media file comprising:

a media file format for particular media data, where the particular transmission protocol(s) which are desired to be used are determined (see column 8, lines 1-9; figure 3).

As per claims 20-36, Jones et al. illustrate methods and computer-readable medium for regulating transmission of media data comprising:

QuickTime file format that supports streaming of media in accordance with the viewers local playback (see column 9, lines 15-39); where the server hint tracks provide designs for Internet or broadcast protocols (see column 9, lines 56-67; column 10, lines 1-4).

As per claims 37-43, Jones et al. describe a media file comprising:

media data and hint tracks to provide desired transmission (see column 8, lines 1-38;
figure 3).

As per claims 47-51, Jones et al. discuss a method, program storage device, and system comprising:

requesting and receiving media data from Web servers (see column 13, lines 58-67; column 14, lines 1-65),

Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 from Parent Application

16. Applicant may chose to overcome rejections based on Jones et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,134,243 A under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) with the declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 filed 04/11/2002 in the parent Application No. 09/175,208. Affidavits or declarations, such as those submitted under 37 CFR 1.131 and 37 CFR 1.132, filed during the prosecution of the parent application do not automatically become a part of this application. Where it is desired to rely on an earlier filed

Art Unit: 2132

affidavit or declaration, the applicant should make the remarks of record in the later application and include a copy of the original affidavit or declaration filed in the parent application in response to this Office action.

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin T. Darrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-3872 until mid October 2004, then (571) 272-3801 thereafter, and whose electronic mail address is justin.darrow@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barrón, Jr., can be reached at (703) 305-1830 until mid October 2004, then (571) 272-3799.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is (703) 872-9306. In order for a formal paper transmitted by fax to be entered into the application file, the paper and/or fax cover sheet must be signed by a representative for the applicant. Faxed formal papers for application file entry, such as amendments adding claims, extensions of time, and statutory disclaimers for which fees must be charged before entry, must be transmitted with an authorization to charge a deposit account to cover such fees. It is also recommended that the cover sheet for the fax of a formal paper have printed "OFFICIAL FAX". Formal papers transmitted by fax usually require three business days for entry into the application file and consideration by the examiner. Formal or Official faxes including amendments after final

Application/Control Number: 10/690,736

Art Unit: 2132

rejection (37 CFR 1.116) should be submitted to (703) 872-9306 for expedited entry into the

application file. It is further recommended that the cover sheet for the fax containing an

amendment after final rejection have printed not only "OFFICIAL FAX" but also

"AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL".

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900 until mid October

2004, then (571) 272-2100 thereafter.

September 30, 2003

JUSTIN T. DARROW PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 8